	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowahility	10/073,318	VOYER, NICOLAS
Notice of Allowability	Examiner //	Art Unit
	Kamran Afshar, 571-272-7796	2681
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apportant or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to 6/1/2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-29</u> .		
3.  The drawings filed on <u>2/13/2002</u> are accepted by the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). <ul> <li>a)</li></ul></li></ul>		
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date</li></ul>	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amenda	te

Art Unit: 2681

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

## 1. Claims 1-29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: 1-29.

Claim is allowed for the reasons as set forth in the previous action mailed 4/25/2005.

With respect to claim 12, the prior art of record fails to disclose or render obvious that the resource allocation criterion is selected from amongst a plurality of predetermined allocation criteria, the selection of the criterion being made using a quantity characteristic of the propagation losses between the mobile terminal and the base station; characterized in that the resources comprise transmission time slots; and in that the plurality of criteria comprises an allocation criterion allocating to a new communication the transmission time slot having the lowest level of interference.

With respect to claim 15, the prior art of record fails to disclose or render obvious that the resource allocation criterion is selected from amongst a plurality of predetermined allocation criteria, the selection of the criterion being made using a quantity characteristic of the propagation losses between the mobile terminal and the base station; characterized in that the resources comprise transmission time slots; and in that the plurality of criteria comprises an allocation criterion allocating to a new communication the transmission time slot having the lowest non-zero number of codes not yet allocated.

With respect to claim 18, the prior art of record fails to disclose or render obvious that the resource allocation criterion is selected from amongst a plurality of predetermined allocation criteria, the selection of the criterion being made using a quantity characteristic of the propagation losses between the mobile terminal and the base station; characterized in that the resources comprise transmission time slots; and in that the plurality of criteria comprises an allocation criterion allocating to a new communication the transmission time slot having the largest number of codes not yet allocated.

With respect to claim 21, the prior art of record fails to disclose or render obvious that comparing the value to a second value; based on the comparing selecting first and second alternative allocation criteria; when the first criterion is selected, selecting a time slot in a transmission frame based upon a level of interference; and when the second criterion is selected, selecting a time slot in a transmission based upon availability of transmission codes.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Dent (U.S. Patent 5, 579, 306), which discloses Time And Frequency Slot Allocation System And Method.
- b) Elliott (U.S. Patent 6, 438, 376 B1)), which discloses Wireless communications management and control system using mobile station position and movement information.
- c) Takenaka (U.S. Patent 5, 48, 751), which discloses Method and apparatus for assigning radio channels in mobile communications systems.
  - d) Kanai (U.S. Patent US 5448751), which discloses Channel assignment method in mobile communication system, in which channel, which meets predetermined criteria, is channel selected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Afshar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7796. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,318

Art Unit: 2681

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Feild, Joseph can be reached @ (571) 272-4090. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for all communications.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Afshar

6/22/2005